Applicant(s) Application No. RABBANI ET AL. 08/978.632 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 1635 J. Douglas Schultz --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\times \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\subseteq \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

Claim(s) rejected: 246 to 270 for the same reasons of record as cited in the Office action dated May 20, 2002.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Part of Paper No. 20040107

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 008/978,632

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment seeks to re-enter claims 25-244, which were previously canceled in the preliminary amendment filed November 25, 1997 and thus never searched. Entry of such an amendment is thus improper on its face because it seeks to add new claims in the place of canceled claims. Furthermore, because the instant amendment contains claims 25-244 that have not been examined to date, its entry would raise issues not previously considered, and thus require a new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants arguments are drawn to claim amendments that have not been entered for reasons given above. For this reason, arguments directed thereto are not considered convincing.

Continuation of 10. Other: The IDS has not been considered, as no statement has been provided under 37 CFR 1.17(e)...